

Proposal Title :	Expansion of North Orange B	2 Zone to facilitate increased r	retail floor space		
Proposal Summary ;	Amend the B2 zone in North Orange to facilitate expansion of the North Orange shopping centre. Concurrent amendment of HOB and FSR maps to restrict the scale of retail development to 0.2:1 FSR across the site and 9m height of buildings.				
PP Number	PP_2016_ORANG_005_00	Dop File No :	16/14182		
posal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	27-Oct-2016	LGA covered :	Orange		
Region :	Western	RPA :	Orange City Council		
State Electorate :	ORANGE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Precinct				
ocation Details					
	lopea Way				
Suburb :	City :	Orange	Postcode : 2800		
	t 700 DP1171441				
	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Nita Scott				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	nita.scott@planning.nsw.gov.a	u			
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Craig Mortell				
Contact Number :	0263938000				
Contact Email :	CMortell@orange.nsw.gov.au				
oP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey				
Contact Number :	0268412180				
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.nsw	.gov.au			
and Release Data					
and include Date					
Growth Centre	N/A	Release Area Name :			

lustification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateç	gy been agreed to by the l	Director General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
* May need the Director General's agreement		 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 	
· Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required?	No	
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have t	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	SEPP 55 is applicable in this instance. The section 117 Directions nominated by the proponent are Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 3.1 Residential Zones, 3.4 Integrating land use and transport, 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements, 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes and 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.		
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) bei	ng adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :	supporting the vial residential purpose	nonstrated through the promotion of employment growth and bility of North Orange (Dir 1.1). The reduction of the amount of land for es is assessed as being insignificant and does not affect housing re a negligible impact on residential land supply in Orange (Dir 3.1).	
	and no further wor close proximity to	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4).	
Appling Provided -	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in	
Mapping Provided -	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d)	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4).	
Mapping Provided - Is mapping provided? Y Comment :	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4).	
Is mapping provided? Y	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu	Ins Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Ily intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately it of Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a	
Is mapping provided? Y	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu 7,600m2. The Heigh 9m HOB requireme	Ins Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Ily intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately it of Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a	
Is mapping provided? Y Comment : Community consult	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu 7,600m2. The Heigh 9m HOB requireme	 Ins Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). It to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. It the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Ity intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately at of Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a nt. 	
Is mapping provided? Y Comment : Community consult	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu 7,600m2. The Heigh 9m HOB requireme sation - s55(2)(e)	 Ins Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). It to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. It the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Ity intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately at of Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a nt. 	
Is mapping provided? Y Comment : Community consult Has community consult Comment :	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu 7,600m2. The Heigh 9m HOB requireme sation - s55(2)(e)	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Illy intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately to f Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a nt.	
Is mapping provided? Y Comment : Community consult Has community consult Comment :	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) Yes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu 7,600m2. The Heigh 9m HOB requireme tation - s55(2)(e) tation been proposed? Ye 28 days has been p	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Illy intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately nt of Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a nt.	
Is mapping provided? Y Comment : Community consult Has community consult Comment :	and no further wor close proximity to The PP is claimed s55(2)(d) fes Mapping indicates 700, and the introdu Floor Space Ratio (The proposal initial an acceptable redu 7,600m2. The Heigh 9m HOB requireme tation - s55(2)(e) tation been proposed? Ye 28 days has been p	ns Western can be satisfied this inconsistency is of minor significance k is required; The PP will enable retail/commercial development in residential land and existing transport corridors (Dir 3.4). to be generally consistent with Directions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. the extension of the B2 Local Centre zone over the entirety of Lot uction of the extended lot to the Height of Buildings Map (9m) and 02.:1) maps for this locality. Illy intended an FSR of 0.32:1, however, Council has since negotiated ced footprint with an FSR of 0.2:1. This equates to approximately nt of Buildings Map will be amended to include the entire site with a nt.	

If No, comment :

Expansion of North Orange B2 Zone to facilitate increased retail floor space

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The PP is supported by Council's commercial/retail expert reporting. The matter has been investigated by Council strategically since 2010. The PP was initially lodged with Orange City Council in 2014 and negotiations then took place over the size and scale of the project, resulting in a withdrawal of the PP.
	It is important to note that at the same time, a Pre-Gateway application was made for a site opposite the North Orange Shopping centre in Telopea Way for a take away food and
	drink premises and service station. Council opposed the application and eventually the JRPP supported Council's position on the grounds that traffic impacts in the immediate
	locality were unmanageable. A traffic study showed that the proposal would not impact
	the local traffic flow and could be accommodated within the existing infrastructure.
	However, the application at hand is supported by a similar study yet involves a substantially greater floor space and therefore traffic generating potential. Traffic issues are the key to the PP at hand being successful in terms of impacts on local roads and traffic flow.
	Council's reporting notes that there will be a shortage of retail floorspace in Orange by 2028; however the appropriate scale of new floorspace has been reduced from the initially
	suggested 4000m2 full line supermarket to an 800m2 extension of the existing supermark
	in the short term (and a new small supermarket and 8-10 specialty shops). Population growth in North Orange since the commencement of the existing supermarket is the mair driving factor behind the PP.
	It is also noted that Orange City Council sought the advice of a consultant on the scale of
	the development where it was advised that the proposal (as reduced) "will not pose an
	undue threat to the Orange CBD. The proposed expansion of Woolworths and the additio of Aldi are considered to be consistent with the demand estimates for supermarket floorspace in the trade area of North Orange".
	However, the Department is concerned that the proposal may impact adversely on the CBD in terms of lost trade.
	There is is little guidance from policy on the scale of neighbourhood shopping centres.
	The section 117 Directions are not definitive other than Direction 3.4 Integrating Land and Transport, which refers to two older Departmental documents, Improving Transport Choi
	 Guidelines for planning and development (DUAP 2001), and The Right Place for Busines and Services – Planning Policy (DUAP 2001). These documents broadly advise for the
	co-location of retail development to reduce travel times and make access more convenie
	for the community. There is no direction or advice on the scale in terms of floor space are of neighbourhood shopping centres.
	The consultants peer-reviewed report confirms an increasing lack of retail floor space
	emerging in Orange and particularly North Orange with the population growth that has
	occurred and is likely to occur. The location of the existing shopping centre on the
	Northern Distributor Road provides additional passing trade opportunities as opposed to the CBD. However, it is important that Council's stated strategic objective of protecting the trade of the trade
	primacy of the CBD is upheld and not compromised by excessive floor space elsewhere.
Environmental social	The key issues with this PP are the scale of the project and impact on the CBD and local
economic impacts :	traffic, which is reported to be difficult due to the intersection of Telopea Way with the Northern Distributor Road.
	Council has shown that they have considered the impacts on the CBD by reducing the siz of the retail floorspace expansion. Supplementary peer reviews of the proposal has led to
	the conclusion that Orange will have a short term shortage of retail floor space by 2017.
	The Gross Floor Area will be increased by approximately 3,350m2.

anning Team Recommendation				
	nendation			
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions:	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 			
Additional Information :	 Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council is to consult with Transport for NSW – Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) under section 56(2)(d) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council is to ensure that the planning proposal satisfies the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 55 – Remediation of Land. 			
Supporting Reasons :	Traffic impacts need to be reviewed across the site and in the immediate locality.			
	Potential for land contamination needs to be resolved prior to rezoning.			
Signature:	Beott			

Endorsed Whankey 4/11/16 TLWR